arXiv:1612.09393v2 [math.AG] 15 Jan 2017

GABBER'S PRESENTATION LEMMA FOR FINITE FIELDS

AMIT HOGADI AND GIRISH KULKARNI

Abstract. We give a proof of Gabber's presentation lemma (1.1) for finite fields. We use Poonen's ideas from [4] to prove this lemma in the special case of open subsets of the affine plane. We then reduce the case of general smooth varieties to this special case.

1. Introduction

A presentation lemma proved by Gabber in [2] (see also [1]) plays a foundational role in $\mathbb{A}^1$-algebraic topology as developed by F. Morel in [3]. This lemma can be thought of as an algebro-geometric analogue of tubular neighbourhood theorem in differential geometry. The current published proof of Gabber's presentation lemma works only over infinite fields. In a private communication to F. Morel, Gabber has pointed out that the proof of this theorem also holds for finite fields. Unfortunately there is no published proof for this case.

The problem in making the proof work over a finite field is very similar to the problem of making Bertini's theorem work over a finite field, which was very innovatively solved by Poonen in [4]. Indeed, we make crucial use of ideas in [4] to give a proof of the following statement of Gabber's presentation lemma for finite fields.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d over a finite field F and $Z \subset X$ be a closed subvariety. Let $p \in Z$ be a point. Let $\mathbb{A}^d_F \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ denote the projection onto the first $d-1$ coordinates. Then there exists a neighbourhood $p \in U \subset X$ and a map $\Phi : U \to \mathbb{A}^d_F$ such that if $\Psi : U \to \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ denotes the composition*

$$U \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{A}^d_F \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$$

then there exists an open neighbourhood $\Psi(p) \in V \subset \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ such that if

$$Z_V := Z \cap \Psi^{-1}(V)$$

then

1. *Φ is etale.*
2. *$\Psi_{|Z_V} : Z_V \to V$ is finite.*
3. *$\Phi_{|Z_V} : Z_V \to \mathbb{A}^1_V = \pi^{-1}(V)$ is a closed immersion.*

Remark 1.2. Without loss of generality we may (and will) assume henceforth that X is affine. Moreover, by [1, 3.2], we may also assume that Z is a principal divisor defined by $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and p is a closed point.

Remark 1.3. If one finds U, Φ, V, Ψ satisfying conditions (1),(2),(3) of (1.1), one can also arrange, by shrinking U the following additional condition

4. $Z_V = \Phi^{-1}\Phi(Z_V)$.

To see this, let $\widetilde{Z}$ denote the image of Z_V in $\mathbb{A}^1_V$. The morphism $\Phi^{-1}\Phi(Z_V) \to \widetilde{Z}$ is etale, and it admits a section as Z_V maps isomorphically onto $\widetilde{Z}$. Thus $\Phi^{-1}\Phi(Z_V)$ is a disjoint union of Z_V and a closed subset T of $\Psi^{-1}(V)$. Replacing U by $U \backslash T$, one sees that the additional condition (4) is satisfied.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F20, 14F42.

The first main step of the proof is reduction to the case where X is a principal open subset of $\mathbb{A}^d_F$. This is done in section 2. In section 3, we use induction on d to reduce to the case where $d = 2$, i.e. X is a principal open subset of $\mathbb{A}^2_F$. This case is now ideal for using the counting argument in [4]. However, we are unable to handle the error term for 'high degree points' as in [4]. We fix this with a slightly ad-hoc trick (4.10).

Acknowledgements: We thank F. Morel for his comments and for answering our questions on the current status of this result. We thank A. Asok, Joel Riou and M. Levine, for their comments during the early stage of this project.

2. Reduction to open subsets of $\mathbb{A}^d_F$

Notation 2.1. We fix the following notation through this paper.

(1) F is a finite field.
(2) For any scheme Y/F, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y_{=r}$ (resp. $Y_{\leq r}$) denotes the set of points in Y of degree equal to (resp. at most) r.
(3) For $f_1, ..., f_i \in F[X_1, ..., X_n]$ we let $\mathsf{Z}(f_1, ..., f_i)$ denote the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^n_F$ defined by the ideal $(f_1, ..., f_i)$.
(4) We let $\pi : \mathbb{A}^d_F \to \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ denote the projection onto the first $d-1$ coordinates.

The goal of this section is to prove Lemma 2.4, which reduces Theorem 1.1 to the case where X is an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^d_F$ and $p \in Z \subset X$ is a closed point with first $d-1$ coordinates equal to 0.

We first start by recalling the following standard trick (see [6]) used in the proof of Noether's normalization lemma.

Lemma 2.2. [6, page 2] *Let k be any field and let Z/k be an affine variety of dimension is $n-1 \geq 1$. Let*

$$Z \xrightarrow{(\phi_1,...,\phi_n)} \mathbb{A}^n_k$$

be a finite map. Let $Q \in k[\phi_n]$ be a non constant monic polynomial. Then for $\ell >> 0$, the map

$$Z \xrightarrow{(\phi_1 - Q^{\ell^{n-1}},...,\phi_{n-1}-Q^{\ell})} \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$$

is finite.

Remark 2.3. One can easily reduce the above general case to the case where $Q = \phi_n$, by observing, that the map $Z \xrightarrow{(\phi_1,...,\phi_n)} \mathbb{A}^n_k$ is finite, implies that $Z \xrightarrow{(\phi_1,...,Q)} \mathbb{A}^n_k$ is finite. Unless explicitly mentioned, we will usually assume $Q = \phi_n$ while applying the lemma. As in the proof of Noether normalization, the above lemma, is usually applied repeatedly until $n = \dim(Z)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $p \in Z \subset X$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Further assume that X is affine, Z is a principal divisor and p is a closed point (see Remark 1.2). Then there exists a map $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{A}^d_F$ and an open neighbourhood W of $\varphi(p)$ such that*

(1) *$\varphi^{-1}(W) \to W$ is etale.*
(2) *$Z_W := Z \cap \varphi^{-1}(W) \to W$ is a closed immersion.*
(3) *The first $d-1$ coordinates of $\varphi(p)$ are 0.*

In particular, it suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 where X is an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^d_F$ and the first $d-1$ coordinates of p are zero.

Proof. Let

- $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$.
- $Z = \mathrm{Spec}\,(A/(f))$.
- $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be the maximal ideal (in A) of the closed point p and $F(p)$ denote its residue field.
- $\overline{A} := A/(f)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ denote the image of $\mathfrak{m}$ in $\overline{A}$.
- for any element $\alpha \in A$, $\overline{\alpha}$ denote its image in $\overline{A}$.

Step 1: In this step we claim that there exist $z_1, ..., z_{d-1} \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that

(1) If $X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ is the map defined by $(z_1, ..., z_{d-1})$, then $\eta_{|Z}$ is finite. Equivalently, $\overline{A}$ is finite over the subalgebra generated by $\{\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}\}$.
(2) The set $\{z_1, ..., z_{d-1}\}$ spans a $d-1$ dimensional $F(p)$ subspace of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

Since X/F is smooth, $\dim_{F(p)}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = d$. Choose $\{x_1, ..., x_{d-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ such that they span a $d-1$ dimensional $F(p)$-subspace of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Choose $y_1, ..., y_m \in A$ which generate A as an F algebra. We expand this generating set to include the x_i's. In particular

$$A = F[x_1, ..., x_{d-1}, y_1, ..., y_m].$$
$$\overline{A} = F[\overline{x}_1, ..., \overline{x}_{d-1}, \overline{y}_1, ..., \overline{y}_m]$$

The image of y_i in $A/\mathfrak{m}$ satisfies a non-constant monic polynomial, say f_i, over F. Let

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i,0} &:= f_i(y_i) \in \mathfrak{m}. \\ x_{i,0} &:= x_i \\ A_0 &:= F[x_{1,0}, .., x_{d-1,0}, y_{1,0}, ..., y_{m,0}] \\ \overline{A}_0 &:= F[\overline{x}_{1,0}, .., \overline{x}_{d-1,0}, \overline{y}_{1,0}, ..., \overline{y}_{m,0}] \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\overline{A}$ is finite over $\overline{A}_0$.

For $0 \leq r \leq m-1$, we inductively define A_{r+1} and elements $x_{i,r+1}, y_{i,r+1}$ as follows. By 2.2, we choose an integer $\ell_r > 1$ such that the following definitions make $\overline{A}_r$ a finite $\overline{A}_{r+1}$-algebra.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i,r+1} &:= x_{i,r} - (y_{m-r,r})^{\ell_r^i} && \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ y_{i,r+1} &:= y_{i,r} - (y_{m-r,r})^{\ell_r^{d-1+i}} && \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq m-r-1 \\ A_{r+1} &:= F[x_{1,r+1}, .., x_{d-1,r+1}, y_{1,r+1}, ..., y_{m-r-1,r+1}] \\ \overline{A}_{r+1} &:= F[\overline{x}_{1,r+1}, .., \overline{x}_{d-1,r+1}, \overline{y}_{1,r+1}, ..., \overline{y}_{m-r-1,r+1}] \end{aligned}$$

Since, $x_{i,0}$ and $y_{i,0}$ belong to $\mathfrak{m}$, inductively one can observe

$$y_{i,r} \in \mathfrak{m}$$
$$x_{i,r} \in \mathfrak{m}$$
$$x_{i,r+1} \equiv x_{i,r} \bmod \mathfrak{m}^2$$

For ease of notation, let us rename

$$z_i := x_{i,m}.$$

Recall that m is an integer such that $\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$ were the chosen generators of A as an F algebra. It is now straightforward to see that $\{z_1, ..., z_{d-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\overline{A} = A/(f)$ is a finite algebra over $\overline{A}_m = F[\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}]$. Also, $\{z_1, ..., z_{d-1}\}$ spans a $d-1$ dimensional $F(p)$-subspace of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. This proves the claim.

Step 2: We now claim that there exists an element $z \in A$ such that

(1) its image in $A/\mathfrak{m}$, to be denoted by c, is a primitive element of $F(p)/F$.
(2) $\sqrt{(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}, h(\overline{z}))} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $h \in F[T]$ is the minimal polynomial of c over F
(3) $\{z_1, ..., z_{d-1}, h(z)\}$ is an $F(p)$-basis of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

Since the map $\eta_{|Z} : Z \to \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ is finite, there exists finitely many points $\{p, p_1, ..., p_t\} \subset Z$ which are contained in the zero locus $\mathsf{Z}(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1})$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_i$ be the maximal ideal corresponding to p_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Choose a nonzero primitive element c of the field extension $F(p)/F$ and let $h \in F[T]$ be its minimal polynomial. Note that h cannot be divisible by T since c is nonzero. In particular, $h(0) \neq 0$. By chinese remainder theorem, there exists an element $y \in A$ such that

$$y \equiv c \bmod \mathfrak{m}$$
$$y \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_i \quad \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq t$$

Thus,

$$h(y) \in \mathfrak{m}$$
$$h(y) \notin \mathfrak{m}_i$$

As $\{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}, \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_1, ..., \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_t\}$ are the only prime ideals of $\overline{A}$ containing $(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1})$, and $h(\overline{y}) \notin \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_i \ \forall \ i$, we conclude that $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the unique prime ideal of $\overline{A}$ containing the ideal $(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}, h(\overline{y}))$. Therefore

$$\sqrt{(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}, h(\overline{y}))} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

The element y satisfies condition (1) and (2) of the claim. We will now modify y to an element z, without disturbing the above conditions such that condition (3) of the claim will also be satisfied. Let

$$h' := \frac{dh}{dT} \in F[T]$$

denote the derivative. Since c is separable over F, $h'(c) \neq 0$. Equivalently, $h'(y) \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and hence is a unit in $A/\mathfrak{m}$. Now let $w \in \mathfrak{m}$ be an element such that $\{z_1, ..., z_{d-1}, w\}$ spans $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ as an $F(p)$-vector space. Let

$$\epsilon := \frac{w - h(y)}{h'(y)}.$$

Thus span of $\{z_1, ..., z_{d-1}, h(y) + \epsilon h'(y)\}$ equals $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. By Chinese remainder theorem, we can choose an element $\widetilde{\epsilon} \in A$ such that

$$\widetilde{\epsilon} \equiv \epsilon \ \mathsf{mod} \ \mathfrak{m}^2$$
$$\widetilde{\epsilon} \equiv 0 \ \mathsf{mod} \ \mathfrak{m}_i \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq t$$

Now let

$$z := y + \widetilde{\epsilon}.$$

Since modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_i$, $z \equiv y$, the above argument shows that z satisfies conditions (1) and (3) of the claim. To see (2), we simply note that

$$h(z) = h(y + \widetilde{\epsilon}) \equiv h(y) + \epsilon \cdot h'(y) \ \mathsf{mod} \ \mathfrak{m}^2.$$

Step 3: The above step shows that $\sqrt{(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}, h(\overline{z}))} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$. We claim that in fact

$$\left(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}, h(\overline{z})\right) = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Note that both are $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$-primary ideals and hence it is enough to show the equality in the localization $\overline{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}}$. But the equality holds in this local ring by Nakayama Lemma since it holds modulo $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2$ (see condition (3) of the claim in Step (2)).

Step 4: Let $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{A}^d_F$ denote the map defined by $(z_1, ..., z_{d-1}, z)$. We claim the following :

(1) The induced map on residue fields $F(\varphi(p)) \to F(p)$ is an isomorphism.
(2) p is the unique point in $\varphi^{-1}\varphi(p) \cap Z$.
(3) φ is etale at p.

Let $\mathbb{A}^d_F = \mathrm{Spec}\,(F[X_1, ..., X_d])$. To see the claim we first note that the point $\varphi(p)$ corresponds to the maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{n} := (X_1, ..., X_{d-1}, h(X_d))$$

where h is the monic irreducible polynomial appearing in Step 3. This implies condition (1) of the claim. (2) is a direct consequence of Step 3, since the ideal defining $\varphi^{-1}\varphi(p) \cap Z$ in $Z = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\overline{A})$ is equal to $(\overline{z}_1, ..., \overline{z}_{d-1}, h(\overline{z})) = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$. We also note, for future reference, that

$$\mathfrak{n}\overline{A} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

To see condition (3), note that the map induced on Zariski cotangent spaces

$$\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{\mathfrak{n}^2} \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2}$$

is surjective by condition (3) of Step 2, and hence it must be an isomorphism since both are vector spaces of same dimension over the same residue field. This implies etaleness of φ at p.

Step 5: In this step we prove the rest of the theorem using a trick used in the proof of [1, 3.5.1]. In fact, the argument in this step has been directly taken from *loc. cit.* The map $\varphi : Z \to \mathbb{A}^d_F$ is finite. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the maximal ideal of $\varphi(p)$ in $F[X_1, ..., X_d]$. By Step 4, $\mathfrak{n}\overline{A} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ and the map

$$\frac{F[X_1, ..., X_d]}{\mathfrak{n}} \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \frac{\overline{A}}{\mathfrak{n}}$$

is an isomorphism, in particular surjective. By Nakayama lemma, there exists a $g \in F[X_1, ..., X_d]\backslash\mathfrak{n}$ such that the map

$$F[X_1, ..., X_d]_g \to \overline{A}_g$$

is surjective. In particular, if $V = \mathbb{A}^d_F\backslash\mathsf{Z}(g)$, then

$$Z \cap \varphi^{-1}(V) \to V$$

is a closed immersion. Note that V is an open neighbourhood of $\varphi(p)$. Let W be the maximal open subset of $\mathbb{A}^d_F\backslash\mathsf{Z}(g)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(W) \to W$ is etale. By Step 4, since φ is etale at p, and since p is the only point in $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(p))$, $\varphi^{-1}(W)$ is an open neighbourhood of p. It is now clear that φ and W satisfy conditions of the Lemma. □

3. Reduction to open subsets of $\mathbb{A}^2_F$

The following is a slight, but important variation of the standard Noether normalization trick (see (2.2)), that we will need.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be any integer, k be any field and Z/k be an affine variety of dimension $n-1$. Let*

$$Z \xrightarrow{(\phi_1,...,\phi_n)} \mathbb{A}^n_k$$

be a finite map. Let $Q \in k[\phi_n]$ be a non constant monic polynomial. Then for an integer $\ell >> 0$, the map

$$Z \xrightarrow{(\phi_1 - Q_1^\ell, ..., \phi_{n-1} - Q_{n-1}^\ell)} \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$$

is finite, where Q_i's are inductively defined by

$$Q_{n-1} := Q.$$

$$Q_i := \phi_{i+1} - Q_{i+1}^\ell \quad \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of 2.2 (see [6, page 2]) and hence we only give a sketch. Since $\dim(Z) = n-1$, $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n$ cannot be algebraically independent. Thus there exists a non-zero polynomial $f \in k[Y_1, ..., Y_n]$ such that $f(\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n) = 0$. Let ℓ be any integer greater than $n\mathsf{deg}(f)$ where $\mathsf{deg}(f)$ is the total degree of f. Let $\widetilde{Q} \in k[Y_n]$ be a polynomial such that $Q = \widetilde{Q}(\phi_n)$. Inductively define $\widetilde{Q}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{Q}_{n-1} := \widetilde{Q}$$

$$\widetilde{Q}_i := Y_{i+1} - \widetilde{Q}_{i+1}^\ell \qquad \forall\ 1 \leq i\ \leq n-2.$$

Notice that the polynomials $\widetilde{Q}_i$'s are defined such that

$$\widetilde{Q}_i(\phi_1, ..., \phi_n) = Q_i.$$

Moreover we note that if $d = Y_n$-degree of $\widetilde{Q}$ then each $\widetilde{Q}_i$ is monic in Y_n of degree $\ell^{n-i-1}d$. Consider the elements $Z_1, ..., Z_{n-1} \in k[Y_1, ..., Y_n]$ defined as follows:

$$Z_i := Y_i - \widetilde{Q}_i^\ell \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

We leave it to the reader to check that

$$k[Z_1, ..., Z_{n-1}, Y_n] = k[Y_1, ..., Y_n].$$

It is enough to show that the polynomial f, expressed in the variables $Z_1, ..., Z_{n-1}, Y_n$ is monic in Y_n. Let us write f as

$$f = \Sigma_{I=(i_1,...i_n)} \alpha_I \cdot m_I$$

where m_I's are monomials in $Y_1, ..., Y_n$ and $\alpha_I \in k$. We leave it to the reader to verify that when expressed in new coordinates $Z_1, \dots Z_{n-1}, Y_n$, each monomial m_I becomes a polynomial which is monic in Y_n of Y_n-degree equal to $i_n + \Sigma_{k=1}^{n-1} i_k \cdot \ell^{n-k} \cdot d$. Since $\ell > n\mathsf{deg}(f)$, one can show that these Y_n-degrees are distinct. Thus in the coordinates $Z_1, ..., Z_{n-1}, Y_n$, f remains monic in Y_n. □

Notation 3.2. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and $f, g \in F[X_1, ..., X_d]$ be nonzero polynomials with no common irreducible factors (see Remark 3.5). Let $X := \mathbb{A}^d_F \backslash \mathsf{Z}(g)$ and $Z := \mathsf{Z}(f) \cap X$. Let $p \in Z$ be a closed point (see Remark 1.2) whose first $d-1$ coordinates are 0.

Recall that by 2.4 it is enough to prove Theorem 1.1 for (X, Z, p) as above.

Definition 3.3. Let f, g, X, Z, p be as in Notation 3.2. For $\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_d\} \subset F[X_1, ..., X_d]$, let

(i) $\Phi : \mathbb{A}^d_F \xrightarrow{(\phi_1,...,\phi_d)} \mathbb{A}^d_F$.
(ii) $\Psi : \mathbb{A}^d_F \xrightarrow{(\phi_1,...,\phi_{d-1})} \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$.

We say that $(\phi_1, ..., \phi_d)$ presents $(X, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$ if

(1) $\Psi_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is finite and $\Psi(p) = (0, ..., 0)$.
(2) $\Psi^{-1}\Psi(p) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f) \subset Z$ (where $Z := \mathsf{Z}(f) \cap X$)
(3) Φ is etale at $S := \Psi^{-1}\Psi(p) \cap Z$.
(4) Φ is radicial at S.

Recall that Φ is said to be *radicial* [7, Tag 01S2] if $\Phi_{|S}$ is injective and for all $x \in S$ the residue field extension $F(x)/F(\Phi(x))$ is trivial.

The following lemma shows that in order to prove Theorem 1.1 for X, Z, p as in Notation 3.2, it is enough to find $\phi_1, ..., \phi_d$ which presents $(X, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X, Z, p be as above. Assume there exists $\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_d\}$ which presents $(X, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$ and Φ, Ψ be as in Definition 3.3. Then there exist open neighborhoods $V \subset \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ of $\Psi(p)$ and $U \subset X$ of p, such that $\Phi_{|U}, \Psi_{|U}, U, V$ satisfy conditions (1),(2),(3) of Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. The argument here is directly taken from [1, 3.5.1]. We construct an open neighbourhood V of $\Psi(p)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$, such that if $Z_V := \Psi^{-1}(V) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)$ then

(i) $Z_V \subset Z$
(ii) Φ is etale at all points in Z_V
(iii) $\Phi|_{Z_V} : Z_V \to \mathbb{A}^1_V$ is closed immersion

Let B be the smallest closed subset of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ containing all points of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ at which Φ is not etale and also containing $\mathsf{Z}(f) \backslash Z$. Since $\Psi_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is a finite map, $\Psi(B)$ is closed in $\mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$. Moreover, because of conditions (2) and (3) of Definition 3.3, we have $\Psi(p) \notin \Psi(B)$. Thus, we can choose affine open subset $W \subset \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ such that $\Psi(p) \in W \subset \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F \backslash \Psi(B)$. Let $Z_W = Z \cap \Psi^{-1}(W)$. We have following commutative diagram of affine schemes and consequently their coordinate rings.





Let $\Psi(p) = q$ and $\mathfrak{m}_q$ be the maximal ideal in $F[W]$ corresponding to q. Thus the ideal corresponding to $S = \Psi^{-1}(q) \cap Z$ in $F[Z_W]$ is $\mathfrak{m}_q \cdot F[Z_W]$. Since Φ is radicial as well as etale at S,

$$\Phi_{|S} : S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_W$$

is a closed immersion. Thus the map on the coordinate rings

$$F[\mathbb{A}^1_W] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{F[Z_W]}{\mathfrak{m}_q F[Z_W]}$$

is surjective. The surjectivity of the above map is equivalent to

$$C \otimes_{F[W]} \frac{F[W]}{\mathfrak{m}_q} = 0$$

where

$$C := \mathrm{Coker}\big(F[\mathbb{A}^1_W] \to F[Z_W]\big).$$

But C is a finite $F[W]$ module. Hence by Nakayama lemma $C_{\mathfrak{m}_q} = 0$. Thus there exists $h \in F[W]\backslash\mathfrak{m}_q$ such that $C_h = 0$ or equivalently

$$F[\mathbb{A}^1_W]_h \twoheadrightarrow F[Z_W]_h$$

is surjective. Let $V := W\backslash\mathsf{Z}(h)$. The coordinate ring of $Z_V := \Psi^{-1}(V) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)$ is $F[Z_W]_h$ and that of $\pi^{-1}V$ is $F[\mathbb{A}^1_W]_h$. Thus the surjectivity of the above map implies that

$$Z_V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_V$$

is a closed immersion as required.

Let $U \subset X$ be the maximal open subset containing points at which Φ is etale. To finish the proof, we need to show that $U, V, \Phi_{|U}, \Psi_{|U}$ satisfy conditions $(1), (2), (3)$ of Theorem 1.1. (1) is clearly satisfied by the definition of U. To see (2), note that $\Psi_{|Z(f)}$ is finite, and hence, as $Z_V = \Psi^{-1}(V) \cap Z(f)$, $\Psi_{|Z_V} : Z_V \to V$ is finite. (3) is precisely the condition (iii) mentioned at the beginning of the proof. □

Remark 3.5. Since our main goal is to prove Theorem 1.1 for (X, Z, p), we may change $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ as long as it does not change Z. If f and g have common irreducible factors, dividing f by the g.c.d. of f and g does not change $\mathsf{Z}(f)\backslash\mathsf{Z}(g)$. This justifies our assumption in Definition 3.2 that f, g have no common irreducible factors.

The following lemma is proved using a simple coordinate change argument. It will be used in the proof of (3.8), which is the main result of this section.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(\phi_1, ..., \phi_d)$ present $(X, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$. as in Lemma 3.4. Then there exist $(\widetilde{\phi}_1, ..., \widetilde{\phi}_d)$ which present $(X, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$ such that the V guaranteed by Lemma 3.4 for $(\widetilde{\phi}_1, ..., \widetilde{\phi}_d)$ satisfies the following additional condition:*

$$\dim\left(\mathsf{Z}(\widetilde{\phi}_1, ..., \widetilde{\phi}_{d-2}) \cap (\mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F\backslash V)\right) = 0.$$

Proof. We note that if $d = 2$, by convention,

$$\mathsf{Z}(\widetilde{\phi}_1, ..., \widetilde{\phi}_{d-2}) \cap (\mathbb{A}^1_F\backslash V) = \mathbb{A}^1_F\backslash V$$

which is of zero dimension since V is non-empty. Thus we may assume $d \geq 3$. For an integer ℓ, consider the automorphism $\rho : \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F \to \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ induced by

$$(X_1, ..., X_{d-1}) \mapsto (X_1 - X_{d-1}^{\ell^{(d-1)-1}}, X_2 - X_{d-1}^{\ell^{(d-1)-2}}, ..., X_{d-2} - X_{d-1}^{\ell^1}, X_{d-1}).$$

We choose $\ell >> 0$, such that by (2.2), $(X_1, ..., X_{d-2})_{|\rho(\mathbb{A}^{d-1}\backslash V)}$ is a finite map. Let

$$\widetilde{\phi}_i := \widetilde{\phi}_i - \widetilde{\phi}_{d-1}^{\ell^{d-1-i}} \quad \text{for } i \leq d-2$$
$$\widetilde{\phi}_i := \phi_i \quad \text{for } i = d-1, d$$

It is then straightforward to check that $(\widetilde{\phi}_1, ..., \widetilde{\phi}_d)$ presents $(X, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$ (since it is obtained by a coordinate change from the original ϕ_i's) and moreover

$$\dim \left(\mathsf{Z}(\widetilde{\phi}_1, ..., \widetilde{\phi}_{d-2}) \cap (\mathbb{A}_F^{d-1} \backslash \rho(V)) \right) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *Let $d \geq 3$, and $f, g \in F[X_1, ..., X_d]$ be two non-zero polynomials with no common factors. Let p be a closed point of $\mathbb{A}_F^d$ such that $X_i(p) = 0$ for all $i \leq d-1$. Then there exists a coordinate change of $F[X_1, ..., X_d]$, i.e. elements $Y_i \in F[X_1, ..., X_d]$ with*

$$F[X_1, ..., X_d] = F[Y_1, ..., Y_d]$$

such that $f(0, Y_2, ..., Y_d)$ and $g(0, Y_2, ..., Y_d)$ are nonzero polynomials with no common irreducible factors and $Y_i(p) = 0$ for all $i \leq d-1$.

Proof. The condition that $f(0, Y_2, ..., Y_d)$ and $g(0, Y_2, ..., Y_d)$ are nonzero polynomials with no common irreducible factors is equivalent to the condition that no irreducible component of $\mathsf{Z}(f) \cap \mathsf{Z}(g)$ is contained in $\mathsf{Z}(Y_1)$.

By Noether normalization trick 2.2, we may assume, by a suitable coordinate change, that the projection

$$(X_2, ..., X_d) : \mathsf{Z}(f) \cap \mathsf{Z}(g) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{A}_F^{d-1}$$

is finite. Note that since $d \geq 3$, image of every irreducible component of $\mathsf{Z}(f) \cap \mathsf{Z}(g)$ under η is of dimension at least one. Thus we may choose closed points $z_1, ..., z_\tau$, one in each irreducible component of $\mathsf{Z}(f) \cap \mathsf{Z}(g)$ such that $\eta(z_i)$ are pairwise distinct and also different from $\eta(p)$. For every closed point x of $\mathbb{A}_F^d$, either X_1 or $X_1 + 1$ is non-vanishing on x. Thus for each z_i, we choose $\epsilon_i = 0$ or 1, such that $X_1 + \epsilon_i$ does not vanish on z_i. By Chinese remainder theorem, there exists a polynomial $\gamma \in F[X_2, ..., X_d]$ such that

$$\gamma(\eta(z_i)) = \epsilon_i \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(p) = 0.$$

It is now straightforward to check that

$$Y_1 := X_1 - \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i := X_i \ \ \forall\ 2 \leq i \leq d$$

satisfies our requirement. □

Lemma 3.8. *[Reduction to $d = 2$] Assume that for $d = 2$ and every X, Z, p be as in Notation 3.2, there exists $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in F[X_1, X_2]$ which presents $(X, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$. Then the same holds for every $d \geq 2$.*

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on d. Assume $d \geq 3$.

Step 0: As before, we let $F[X_1, ..., X_d]$ be the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{A}_F^d$. Let $\overline{f}(X_2, ..., X_d) := f(0, X_2, ..., X_d)$ and $\overline{g}(X_2, ..., X_d) := g(0, X_2, ..., X_d)$. By Lemma 3.7, we may assume that then $\overline{f}$ and $\overline{g}$ are non-zero and have no common factors. We let

- $\overline{X} := X \cap \mathsf{Z}(X_1)$.
- $\overline{Z} := Z \cap \overline{X}$.

Note that $p \in \overline{Z}$ and $\overline{X} = \mathsf{Z}(X_1) \backslash \mathsf{Z}(g)$ where $\mathsf{Z}(X_1) \cong \mathbb{A}_F^{d-1}$ with coordinate ring $F[X_2, ..., X_d]$. By induction, there exist $\{\overline{\phi}_2, ..., \overline{\phi}_d\} \subset F[X_2, ..., X_d]$ which presents $(\overline{X}, \mathsf{Z}(\overline{f}), p)$. Let

$$\overline{\Phi} := (\overline{\phi}_2, ..., \overline{\phi}_d) \ \text{ and } \ \overline{\Psi} := (\overline{\phi}_2, ..., \overline{\phi}_{d-1}).$$

By Lemma 3.4, there exist neighbourhoods $\overline{V} \subset \mathbb{A}_F^{d-1}$ and $\overline{U} \subset \overline{X}$ of $\overline{\Psi}(p)$ and p respectively such that if

$$\overline{Z}_{\overline{V}} := \overline{Z} \cap \overline{\Psi}^{-1}(\overline{V})$$

then the following conditions of Theorem 1.1

(1) $\overline{\Phi}_{|\overline{U}}$ is etale.
(2) $\overline{\Psi}_{|\overline{Z}_{\overline{V}}} : \overline{Z}_{\overline{V}} \to \overline{V}$ is finite.

(3) $\overline{\Phi}_{|\overline{Z}_{\overline{V}}} : \overline{Z}_{\overline{V}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{\overline{V}}$ is a closed immersion.

Further, by Lemma 3.6, we also assume (without loss of generality) that if E is the closed subset of $\overline{X}$ defined by

$$E := \overline{U} \backslash \overline{\Psi}^{-1}(\overline{V})$$

then

(4) $\dim(E \cap \mathsf{Z}(\overline{\phi}_2, ..., \overline{\phi}_{d-2})) = 0$.

Note that (4) is vacuously satisfied unless $d \geq 4$.

Step 1: Since $\mathsf{Z}(\overline{f}) \xrightarrow{(\overline{\phi}_2,...,\overline{\phi}_{d-1})} \mathbb{A}^{d-2}_F$ is finite (see 3.3(1)), for $2 \leq i \leq d$, the image of X_i in $F[X_2, ..., X_d]/(\overline{f})$ satisfies a monic polynomial

$$P_i := X_i^{m_i} + a_{m_i-1,i} X_i^{m_i-1} + \cdots + a_{0,i}$$

where each $a_{i,j}$ is a polynomial expression in $\overline{\phi}_2, ..., \overline{\phi}_{d-1}$ with coefficients in F. P_i, regarded as an element of $F[X_2, ..., X_d]/(\overline{f})$ is zero. We let $\widetilde{P}_i$ be the same polynomial expression as P_i, but now considered as an element of $F[X_1, ..., X_d]/(f)$. Thus $\widetilde{P}_i$ maps to zero via the map

$$F[X_1, ..., X_d]/(f) \xrightarrow{X_1 \mapsto 0} F[X_2, ..., X_d]/(\overline{f}).$$

Therefore

$$\widetilde{P}_i = X_1 g_i$$

for some $g_i \in F[X_1, ..., X_d]/(f)$. We claim that the map

$$\mathsf{Z}(f) \xrightarrow{(\overline{\phi}_2,...,\overline{\phi}_d, X_1, X_1 g_2, ..., X_1 g_d)} \mathbb{A}^{2d-1}_F$$

is finite. This is clear because for $i \geq 2$, each X_i satisfies the polynomial $P_i - X_1 g_i$ which is monic in X_i with coefficients which are polynomial expressions in the functions defining the above map. Applying 2.2 repeatedly to this map (see Remark 2.3), we get $\phi_2, ..., \phi_d \in F[X_1, ..., X_d]$ such that

$$\phi_i \equiv \overline{\phi}_i \mod X_1$$

and the map $(\phi_2, ..., \phi_d)_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is finite.

Step 2: Consider the maps

$$\widetilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{A}^d_F \xrightarrow{(X_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_d)} \mathbb{A}^d_F$$
$$\widetilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{A}^d_F \xrightarrow{(X_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-1})} \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F.$$

Note that for all points $x \in \mathsf{Z}(X_1)$, $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is etale at x iff $\mathsf{Z}(X_1) \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}_2,...,\overline{\phi}_d} \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_F$ is etale at x. Let E be the closed subset of $\mathsf{Z}(\overline{f}) \subset \mathsf{Z}(f)$ defined in Step 0. We have the following:

(1) $\widetilde{\Phi}_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is finite. In fact the map $(\phi_2, ..., \phi_d)_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is finite.
(2) $\widetilde{\Psi}(p) \notin \widetilde{\Psi}(E)$ (this follows from the definition of E)
(3) $\widetilde{\Phi}$ restricted to $\mathsf{Z}(\overline{f}) \backslash E$ is a locally closed immersion.
(4) $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is etale at all points in $\mathsf{Z}(\overline{f}) \backslash E$.

By condition (4) of Step 0,

$$E \cap \mathsf{Z}(\overline{\phi}_2, ..., \overline{\phi}_{d-2}) = E \cap \mathsf{Z}(\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-2})^1$$

is finite. Let Q be any non-constant polynomial expression in ϕ_d which vanishes on the finite set

$$\Big(E \cap \mathsf{Z}(\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-2})\Big) \cup \{p\}.$$

Let ℓ be a large enough integer which is divisible by $\mathrm{char}(F)$. Let $\phi_1 = X_1$ and as in Lemma 3.1, let $Q_{d-1} := Q$ and

$$Q_i := \phi_{i+1} - Q_{i+1}^{\ell} \quad \forall\ i \leq d-2.$$

[1] where by convention $\mathsf{Z}(\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-3})$ is the whole of $\mathbb{A}^d_F$ if $d \leq 3$.

Let

$$\Phi := (\phi_1 - Q_1^\ell, \ldots, \phi_{d-1} - Q_{d-1}^\ell, \phi_d) : \mathbb{A}_F^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^d$$
$$\Psi := (\phi_1 - Q_1^\ell, \ldots, \phi_{d-1} - Q_{d-1}^\ell) : \mathbb{A}_F^d \to \mathbb{A}_F^{d-1}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 $\Psi_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is finite. We let S be the finite set of points in $\Psi^{-1}\Psi(p) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)$. To finish the proof, it suffices to verify the conditions (2)-(4) of Definition (3.3). We first note that $S \subset \mathsf{Z}(\phi_1, ..., \phi_{d-2})$. This is because if $x \in S$, then by definition of S,

$$\phi_{i+1} - Q_{i+1}^\ell(x) = Q_i(x) = 0 \quad \forall \ \ i \leq d-2.$$

And thus

$$\phi_i - Q_i^\ell(x) = \phi_i(x) = 0 \quad \forall \ \ i \leq d-2.$$

We now show that S is disjoint from E. First note that $S \subset \mathsf{Z}(\phi_1) = \mathsf{Z}(X_1)$. Let $x \in S \cap E$ is possible. Hence x is necessarily in $E \cap \mathsf{Z}(\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-2})$ by the above argument. Thus by definition of Q and Q_i's, we have that the first $d-1$ coordinates of $\Psi(x)$ vanish. From this one gets a contradiction since as $x \in S$,

$$(\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-1})(x) = (\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-1})(p)$$

but by definition of E, $(\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-1})(p)$ must be disjoint from $(\phi_2, ..., \phi_{d-1})(E)$. Hence S must be disjoint from E. We leave it to the reader to check that this implies conditions (2) and (4) of Definition 3.3. For checking condition (3), i.e. to check Φ is etale at all points in S, we note that since ℓ is divisible by $\mathrm{char}(F)$, Φ is etale precisely at those points where $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is etale. In particular Φ is etale at all points of $\mathsf{Z}(\overline{f}) \backslash E$. □

4. Open subsets of $\mathbb{A}_F^2$

Lemma 4.1. *Let F be a finite field as before, and $C \subset \mathbb{A}_F^2$ be a closed curve such that the projection onto the Y-coordinate $Y_{|C} : C \to \mathbb{A}_F^1$ is finite. Then the following set of points is dense in C*

$$\left\{x \in C \mid \mathsf{deg}(Y(x)) = \mathsf{deg}(x)\right\}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume C is irreducible and hence we simply have to show that the set

$$\left\{x \in C \mid \mathsf{deg}(Y(x)) = \mathsf{deg}(x)\right\}$$

is infinite. Let $x_1, ..., x_q$ be the F-rational points of $\mathbb{A}_F^1$. Let $C' := C \backslash Y^{-1}(\{x_1, ..., x_q\})$. C' is a dense open subset of C as $Y_{|C}$ is finite. Now, any point $x \in C'$ of prime degree satisfies $\mathsf{deg}(Y(x)) = \mathsf{deg}(x)$. Due to Lang - Weil estimates, for all large enough primes, there is a point in C' of that prime degree. This proves the lemma. □

Notation 4.2. Let

(1) $A = F[X, Y]$ and $A_{\leq d} = \{h \in A | \mathsf{deg}(h) \leq d\}$. Here $\mathsf{deg}(h)$ means the total degree.
(2) $f, g \in A$ be two non-constant polynomials, with no common irreducible factors. By performing a change of coordinates if necessary, we will assume, without loss of generality that f is monic in X of degree m.
(3) $W := \mathbb{A}_F^2 \backslash \mathsf{Z}(g)$. In this section we call our variety W instead of X, since the later also denotes a coordinate function on $\mathbb{A}_F^2$.
(4) $Z := \mathsf{Z}(f) \cap W$. Note that $\mathsf{Z}(f) \backslash Z$ is finite as f, g have no common irreducible components.
(5) $p \in Z$ be a closed point such that its X-coordinate is 0. We also choose a set of closed points $\{p_1, ..., p_t\}$ in Z such that the set $T := \{p, p_1, ..., p_t\}$ satisfies
    (a) T contains at least one points from each irreducible component of Z.
    (b) No two points in T have same degrees.
    (c) For all p_i, $1 \leq i \leq t$, $\mathsf{deg}(Y(p_i)) = \mathsf{deg}(p_i)$. This can be ensured by Lemma 4.1. Note that since X-coordinate of p is 0, we also have $\mathsf{deg}(Y(p)) = \mathsf{deg}(p)$.
(6) Let $D = \{q_1, \ldots, q_s\}$ be a finite set of closed points in $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ satisfying:
    (a) D contains all points in $\mathsf{Z}(f) \backslash Z$.
    (b) D contains at least one points from each irreducible component of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$.

(c) D does not contain any point of $\{p, p_1, ..., p_t\}$.

Moreover, for a point x in $\mathsf{Z}(f)$, the notation $\mathcal{O}_x$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_x$) will mean $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2_F,x}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{A}^2_F,x}$) i.e. the local ring (resp. maximal ideal) of x as a point of $\mathbb{A}^2_F$.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.3. *There exists $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in F[X, Y]$ which presents $(W, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$.*

This is enough to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of 1.1. This follows from Lemmas 2.4, 3.4 and 3.8 and Theorem 4.3. □

To prove 4.3, we will find ϕ_1 by using Lemma 4.4 and ϕ_2 by Lemma 4.10. We heavily use the counting techniques by Poonen [4] to prove these lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. *Let the notation be as in 4.2. There exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every $d >> 0$, there exists a $\phi \in A_{\leq d}$ satisfying*

(1) *$\phi(p) = \phi(p_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., t$ and $\phi(q_i) \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, s$.*
(2) *(ϕ, Y) is etale at all $x \in S$ where $S := \mathsf{Z}(\phi) \cap Z$.*
(3) *The projection $Y : \mathbb{A}^2_F \to \mathbb{A}^1_F$ is radicial at $S_{\leq (d-c)/2}$.*

Remark 4.5. The above lemma is motivated by writing down conditions for ϕ such that (ϕ, Y) presents $(W, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$, and then keeping only those which we can prove. Indeed, if $\phi_{|Z(f)}$ is a finite map and Y is radicial at whole of S (as opposed to $S_{(d-c)/2}$ above), then (ϕ, Y) would present (W, Z, p) thereby proving (4.3).

Remark 4.6. The set $S = \mathsf{Z}(\phi) \cap Z$ appearing in the statement of the above Lemma is necessarily finite. This is because in each irreducible component of Z, there is at least one q_i (see (4.2)(6)(b)) on which ϕ does not vanish. Since T intersects each irreducible component of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ (see (4.2)(5)(a)), we know that any open neighbourhood of S is dense in $\mathsf{Z}(f)$.

Following [4] define the density of a subset $\mathcal{C} \subset A$ by

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}) := \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#\,(\mathcal{C} \cap A_{\leq d})}{\#\,A_{\leq d}}$$

provided the limit exists. Similarly the upper and lower densities of $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\overline{\mu}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\underline{\mu}(\mathcal{C})$, are defined by replacing limit in the above expression by lim sup and lim inf respectively.

To prove existence of ϕ in 4.4, we will show that the density of such ϕ is positive. We prove Lemma 4.4 in two steps. First, we show (Lemma 4.8) that ϕ satisfying conditions (1), (3) and condition (2) for points upto certain degree, exists. Next, we show (Lemma 4.9) that the set of ϕ which do not satisfy condition (2) for points of higher degrees has zero density.

Let $\phi \in A$ and $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Consider the following conditions on ϕ, which are closely related to the conditions (1), (2) and (3) of Lemma 4.4.

(a) $\phi(p) = \phi(p_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\phi(q_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.
(b_r) For all $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r}$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$, $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}(x) \neq 0$.
(c_r) For all points $x_1, x_2 \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r}$, such that $\mathsf{deg}(x_1) = \mathsf{deg}(x_2) = \mathsf{deg}(Y(x_1)) = \mathsf{deg}(Y(x_2))$ and $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = 0$, we have $Y(x_1) \neq Y(x_2)$.
(d_r) For all $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r}$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$, $\mathsf{deg}(Y(x)) = \mathsf{deg}(x)$.

It is easily seen that

Remark 4.7. The main motivation for introducing the above conditions, are the following straightforward implications between them and the conditions of 4.4

- ϕ satisfies (4.4)(1) if ϕ satisfies (a).
- ϕ satisfies (4.4)(2) iff ϕ satisfies (b_r) for all $r \geq 1$.

- ϕ satisfies (4.4)(3) iff ϕ satisfies (c_r) and (d_r) for all $r \leq (d-c)/2$.

Lemma 4.8. *There exists integers $r_0, c \in \mathbb{N}$, with*

$$r_0 > \max\{\mathsf{deg}(p), \mathsf{deg}(p_1), ..., \mathsf{deg}(p_t), \mathsf{deg}(q_1), ..., \mathsf{deg}(q_s)\}$$

such that the lower density of the set

$$\mathcal{P} := \bigcup_{d>c+2r_0} \left\{\phi \in A_{\leq d} \mid \phi \text{ satisfies } (a), (b_{(d-c)/2}), (c_{(d-c)/2}), (d_{(d-c)/2}) \text{ and } \phi(x) = 1 \ \forall\ x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0} \backslash T\right\}$$

is positive.

Proof. By Lang-Weil estimates there exists $c' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\#\left(\mathsf{Z}(f)_{=n}\right) \leq c' \cdot q^n.$$

For reasons which be clear during the course of the calculations below, we choose r_0 and c as follows. Let r_0 be any integer satisfying

(i) $r_0 > \max\{\mathsf{deg}(p), \mathsf{deg}(p_1), ..., \mathsf{deg}(p_t), \mathsf{deg}(q_1), ..., \mathsf{deg}(q_s)\}$.

(ii) $\left(\sum_{i>r_0/m} \frac{1}{q^i}\right) \cdot \left(c' + \binom{m}{2} + \frac{m}{2}\right) < 1 - \sum_{x\in T} q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)}$.

Note that it is always possible to ensure (ii) as

$$\left(\sum_{i>r_0/m} \frac{1}{q^i}\right) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r_0 \to \infty$$

and as degrees of points in T are distinct we have

$$\sum_{x\in T} q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)} < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-i} \leq 1.$$

Let

$$c = \sum_{x\in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}} \mathsf{deg}(x).$$

Let $d \geq c + 2r_0$ be any integer and $r := (d-c)/2$. Let

$$\mathcal{T} := \{\phi \in A_{\leq d} \mid \phi \text{ satisfies (a) and } \phi(x) = 1 \ \forall\ x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0} \backslash T\}.$$
$$\mathcal{T}_b := \{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi \text{ does not satisfy}(b_r)\}$$
$$\mathcal{T}_c := \{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi \text{ does not satisfy}(c_r)\}$$
$$\mathcal{T}_d := \{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi \text{ does not satisfy}(d_r)\}$$

Let

$$\delta := \frac{\#\,\mathcal{T}}{\#\,A_{\leq d}}, \quad \delta_b := \frac{\#\,\mathcal{T}_b}{\#\,A_{\leq d}}, \quad \delta_c := \frac{\#\,\mathcal{T}_c}{\#\,A_{\leq d}}, \quad \delta_d := \frac{\#\,\mathcal{T}_d}{\#\,A_{\leq d}}.$$

In the following steps we will estimate $\delta, \delta_b, \delta_c, \delta_d$.

Step 1 : (Estimation for δ) : Note that the condition that ϕ belongs to $\mathcal{T}$ depends solely on the image of ϕ in the zero dimensional ring

$$\prod_{x\in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}} (\mathcal{O}_x/m_x).$$

Since the length of the above ring is c and since $d \geq c$, by [4, Lemma 2.1] the map

$$A_{\leq d} \xrightarrow{\rho} \prod_{x\in \mathsf{Z}(f)\leq r_0} (\mathcal{O}_x/m_x)$$

is surjective. One can easily see that $\mathcal{T}$ is a coset of $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho)$. Therefore

$$\delta = \prod_{x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}} q^{-degx}.$$

Step 2 : (Estimation for δ_b) : Let $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r}$ where recall that $r = (d-c)/2$. The following are equivalent :

(i) $\phi \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\phi(x) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}(x) = 0$.
(ii) $\phi \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\phi \bmod \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ lies in the kernel of the linear map $\frac{\partial}{\partial X} : \frac{\mathfrak{m}_x}{\mathfrak{m}_x^2} \to F(x)$.

Let us first consider the case when $\mathsf{deg}(x) > r_0$. In this case, each of the above condition for ϕ depends only on its image in the zero dimensional ring

$$\left(\prod_{q \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}} (\mathcal{O}_q/m_q) \right) \times (\mathcal{O}_x/m_x^2).$$

The cardinality of the above ring is

$$\left(\prod_{y \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}} q^{\mathsf{deg}(y)} \right) \cdot q^{3\mathsf{deg}(x)}.$$

Let us call an element ξ in the above ring as a favorable value iff all ϕ mapping to ξ satisfy the above conditions. It is an easy exercise to check that the set of all favorable values has cardinality $q^{\mathsf{deg}(x)}$. Thus the ratio of number of favorable values to the cardinality of the ring is nothing but $\delta q^{-2\mathsf{deg}(x)}$. The length of this ring is $c+3$. Since $d \geq c + 2r_0 \geq c+3$, by [4, Lemma 2.1], $A_{\leq d}$ surjects onto this ring. Due to this, the ratio of $\phi \in A_{\leq d}$ satisfying the above two conditions to the $\# A_{\leq d}$ is nothing but $\delta q^{-2\mathsf{deg}(x)}$. In other words,

$$\frac{\#\left\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi(x) = 0,\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}(x) = 0\right\}}{\# A_{\leq d}} = \delta \cdot q^{-2\mathsf{deg}(x)}.$$

Now let us consider the case where $\mathsf{deg}(x) \leq r_0$. We claim that unless $x \in T$, there is no $\phi \in \mathcal{T}$ which vanishes on x. This follows from the definition of $\mathcal{T}$. So let us assume $x \in T$. In this case, the above two conditions for ϕ depend solely on the image of ϕ in the ring

$$\left(\prod_{\substack{q \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0} \\ q \neq x}} (\mathcal{O}_q/m_q) \right) \times (\mathcal{O}_x/m_x^2).$$

Proceeding in a manner similar to the case where $\mathsf{deg}(x) > r_0$, we find that for $x \in T$,

$$\frac{\#\left\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi(x) = 0,\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}(x) = 0\right\}}{\# A_{\leq d}} = \delta \cdot q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)}.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{T}_b = \bigcup_{\substack{x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r} \text{ such that} \\ x \in T \text{ or } \mathsf{deg}(x) > r_0}} \left\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi(x) = 0,\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}(x) = 0\right\}$$

we get an estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_b &\leq \sum_{x \in T} \delta q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)} + \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r} \text{ such that} \\ \mathsf{deg}(x) > r_0}} \delta \cdot q^{-2\mathsf{deg}(x)} \\ &\leq \delta \left(\sum_{x \in T} q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)} + \sum_{r_0 < i \leq r} c' q^{-i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where recall that c' was the constant in Lang Weil estimates such that $\#\mathsf{Z}(f)_{=n} \leq c'q^n$.

Step 3 : (Estimation for δ_c): Let $y \in \mathbb{A}^1_F$ with $i := \mathsf{deg}(y) \leq r$. Let

$$\mathcal{T}_c^y := \Big\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \exists \text{ distinct } x_1, x_2 \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{=i} \text{ with } Y(x_1) = Y(x_2) = y \text{ and } \phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = 0\Big\}.$$

First, note that $\mathcal{T}_c^y$ is empty unless $i > r_0$. This is because the only points of degree $\leq r_0$ on which a $\phi \in \mathcal{T}$ vanishes are the points in T. However by choice, all points in T have different degrees. Thus, let us assume $i > r_0$. In this case, we claim that

$$\frac{\#\,\mathcal{T}_c^y}{\#\,A_{\leq d}} \leq \delta \cdot \binom{m}{2} \cdot q^{-2i}.$$

For fixed x_1, x_2 with $Y(x_1) = Y(x_2) = y$,

$$\Big\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = 0\Big\}$$

is a coset of the Kernel of the following map

$$A_{\leq d} \longrightarrow \Big(\prod_{q \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}} (\mathcal{O}_q/m_q)\Big) \times (\mathcal{O}_{x_1}/m_{x_1}) \times (\mathcal{O}_{x_2}/m_{x_2})$$

which is surjective by [4, 2.1]. Thus

$$\frac{\#\Big\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = 0.\Big\}}{\#\,A_{\leq d}} \leq \delta \cdot q^{-2i}.$$

To prove the claim we now simply observe that since f is monic in X of degree m there are atmost $\binom{m}{2}$ possible choices for a pair $\{x_1, x_2\}$ as above.

As discussed above, since $\mathcal{T}_c^y$ is empty unless $i > r_0$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_c = \bigcup_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{A}^1_F \\ r_0 < \mathsf{deg}(y) \leq r}} \mathcal{T}_c^y.$$

For a fixed i,

$$\#\left\{y \in \mathbb{A}^1_F \mid \mathsf{deg}(y) = i\right\} \leq q^i.$$

From this, it is elementary to deduce

$$\delta_c = \frac{\#\,\mathcal{T}_c}{\#\,A_{\leq d}} \leq \delta\Big(\sum_{r_0 < i \leq r} \binom{m}{2} q^{-i}\Big).$$

Step 4 : (Estimation for δ_d): As in the above step, let $y \in \mathbb{A}^1_F$ with $i := \mathsf{deg}(y) \leq r$. Let

$$\mathcal{T}_d^y := \Big\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \exists\ x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r} \text{ with } \phi(x) = 0\ ,\ Y(x) = y \text{ and } \mathsf{deg}(x) > i.\Big\}.$$

We first claim that $\mathcal{T}_d^y$ is empty unless $\mathsf{deg}(y) > r_0/m$. Since otherwise, there would exist a $\phi \in \mathcal{T}$ and an $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r}$ with $Y(x) = y$, $\phi(x) = 0$ and $\mathsf{deg}(x) > \mathsf{deg}(y)$. But as f is monic in X of degree m, the maximum degree of a point x lying over y is $m \cdot \mathsf{deg}(y) \leq r_0$. Which means $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}$. However as $\phi \in \mathcal{T}$, The only points in $\mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}$ on which ϕ vanishes are those in T. Thus $x \in T$. But by (4.2)(5)(c), for such x, $\mathsf{deg}(Y(x)) = \mathsf{deg}(y) = \mathsf{deg}(x)$ which is a contradiction.

We will now estimate

$$\frac{\#\,\mathcal{T}_d^y}{\#\,A_{\leq d}}.$$

Fix a point $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r}$ with $\mathsf{deg}(x) > i$ and $Y(x) = y$. For this x, we first note that because of (4.2)(5)(c), $x \notin T$.

For $\mathsf{deg}(x) > r_0$ we note that

$$\frac{\#\left\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi(x) = 0.\right\}}{\#\, A_{\leq d}} \leq \delta \cdot q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)} \leq \delta \cdot q^{-2i}.$$

This is deduced, as before, from the surjectivity of

$$A_{\leq d} \longrightarrow \Big(\prod_{q \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}} (\mathcal{O}_q/m_q)\Big) \times (\mathcal{O}_x/m_x)$$

If $\mathsf{deg}(x) \leq r_0$, $\Big\{\phi \in \mathcal{T} \mid \phi(x) = 0\Big\}$ is empty as there is no points in $\mathsf{Z}(f)\backslash T$ on which a $\phi \in \mathcal{T}$ vanishes. And hence, the above estimate trivially holds in this case also.

As f is monic in X of degree m, and $\mathsf{deg}(x) \geq 2i$, there are atmost $\frac{m}{2}$ possible choices for $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)$ such that $Y(x) = y$. This shows that

$$\frac{\#\, \mathcal{T}_d^y}{\#\, A_{\leq d}} \leq \delta \cdot \frac{m}{2} \cdot q^{-2i}.$$

Since, as discussed above, $\mathcal{T}_d^y$ is empty unless $\mathsf{deg}(y) > r_0/m$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_d = \bigcup_{y \in (\mathbb{A}^1_F)_{\geq r_0/m}} \mathcal{T}_d^y.$$

For a fixed i,

$$\#\left\{y \in \mathbb{A}^1_F \mid \mathsf{deg}(y) = i\right\} \leq q^i.$$

Thus

$$\delta_d = \frac{\#\, \mathcal{T}_d}{\#\, A_{\leq d}} \leq \delta\Big(\sum_{r_0/m < i \leq r} \frac{m}{2}\, q^{-i}\Big).$$

Step 5 : (Estimation for $\mathcal{P}$): If we let

$$\mathcal{P}_d := \Big\{\phi \in A_{\leq d} \mid \phi \text{ satisfies } (a), (b_{(d-c)/2}), (c_{(d-c)/2}), (d_{(d-c)/2}) \text{ and } \phi(x) = 1 \;\forall\; x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{\leq r_0}\backslash T\Big\},$$

then

$$\mathcal{P}_d = \mathcal{T}\backslash(\mathcal{T}_b \cup \mathcal{T}_c \cup \mathcal{T}_d).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\#\, \mathcal{P}_d}{\#\, A_{\leq d}} &\geq \delta - \delta_b - \delta_c - \delta_d \\
&\geq \delta\left[1 - \sum_{x \in T} q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)} - \sum_{r_0 < i \leq r} c' q^{-i} - \sum_{r_0 < i \leq r} \binom{m}{2} q^{-i} - \sum_{r_0/m < i \leq r} \frac{m}{2}\, q^{-i}\right] \\
&\geq \delta\left[1 - \sum_{x \in T} q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)} - \left(\sum_{r_0/m < i \leq r} \frac{1}{q^i}\right) \cdot \left(c' + \binom{m}{2} + \frac{m}{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above expression $r = (d-c)/2$. As $d \to \infty$, so does r. Hence we observe that

$$\mathsf{inf}\Big(\frac{\#\, \mathcal{P}_d}{\#\, A_{\leq d}}\Big) \geq \delta\left[1 - \sum_{x \in T} q^{-\mathsf{deg}(x)} - \left(\sum_{i > r_0/m} \frac{1}{q^i}\right) \cdot \left(c' + \binom{m}{2} + \frac{m}{2}\right)\right]$$

which is positive, thanks to the definition of r_0. Thus the lower density of

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_d \mathcal{P}_d$$

is positive as required. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let c be as in Lemma 4.8 and let*

$$\mathcal{Q} := \bigcup_{d\geq 0} \Big\{ \phi \in A_{\leq d} \mid \exists\ x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{>(d-c)/2} \text{ such that } \phi(x) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}(x) = 0 \Big\}.$$

Then $\mu(\mathcal{Q}) = 0$.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of [4, 2.6]. We reproduce the argument verbatim here for the convenience of the reader. We will bound the probability of ϕ constructed as

$$\phi = \phi_0 + g^p X + h^p$$

and has a point $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)_{>(d-c)/2}$ with $\phi(x) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}(x) = 0$. Note that if ϕ is of the above form, then

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial X} + g^p.$$

Further, if $\phi_0 \in A_{\leq d}$, $g \in A_{\leq d-1/p}$ and $h \in A_{\leq d/p}$, then $\phi \in A_{\leq d}$. Define

$$W_0 := \mathsf{Z}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad W_1 := \mathsf{Z}\Big(f, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}\Big).$$

Note that $\mathsf{dim}(W_0) = 1$.
Let

$$\gamma := \lfloor \frac{d-1}{p} \rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor.$$

Claim 1: The probability (as a function of d) of choosing $\phi_0 \in A_{\leq d}$ and $g \in A_{\leq (d-1)/p}$ such that $\mathsf{dim}(W_1) = 0$ is $1 - o(1)$.

Let $V_1, ..., V_\ell$ be F irreducible components of W_0. Clearly $\ell \leq \mathsf{deg}(f)$ (where $\mathsf{deg}(f)$ is the total degree). Since the projection onto the Y coordinate is finite on $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ (by (4.2)(2)), we know that $Y(V_k)$ is of dimension one for all k. We will now bound the set

$$G_k^{\text{bad}} := \Big\{ g \in A_{\leq \gamma} \mid \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial X} + g^p \text{ vanishes identically on } V_k \Big\}.$$

If $g, g' \in G_k^{\text{bad}}$, then $g - g'$ vanishes on V_k. Thus if G_k^{bad} is non-empty, it is a coset of the subspace of functions in A_γ which vanish identically on V_k. The codimension of that subspace, or equivalently the dimension of the image of A_γ in the regular functions on V_k is at least $\gamma + 1$, since no polynomial in Y vanishes on V_k. Thus the probability that $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}$ vanishes on V_k is at most $q^{-\gamma-1}$. Thus, the probability that $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}$ vanishes on some V_k is at most $\ell q^{-\gamma-1} = o(1)$. Since $\dim(W_1) = 0$ iff $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}$ does not identically vanish on any component V_k, the claim follows.

We will now estimate the probability of choosing h such that there is no bad point in $Z(f)$, i.e. a point in $\mathsf{Z}(f)_{>(d-c)/2}$ where both ϕ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}$ vanish. Note that the set of such bad points is precisely

$$\mathsf{Z}(\phi) \cap W_1 \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)_{>(d-c)/2}.$$

Claim 2: Conditioned on the choice of ϕ_0 and g such that W_1 is finite, the probability of choosing h such that

$$\mathsf{Z}(\phi) \cap W_1 \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)_{>(d-c)/2} = \emptyset$$

is $1 - o(1)$ as $d \to \infty$.

It is clear by Bezout theorem that $\#\ W_1 = O(d)$. For a given $x \in W_1$, the set

$$H^{\text{bad}} = \big\{ h \in A_\eta \mid \phi = \phi_0 + g^p X + h^p \text{ vanishes on } x \big\}$$

is either $\emptyset$ or a coset of $\mathrm{Ker}\big(A_\eta \xrightarrow{ev_x} F(x)\big)$ where $F(x)$ is the residue field of x. For the purpose of this claim, we only need to consider x such that $\mathsf{deg}(x) > (d-c)/2$. In this case, [4, Lemma 2.5] implies that

$$\frac{\#\ H^{\mathrm{bad}}}{\#\ A_\eta} \leq q^{-\nu} \quad \text{where} \quad \nu = \mathsf{min}(\eta+1, (d-c)/2).$$

Thus, the probability that both ϕ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}$ vanish at such x is at most $q^{-\nu}$. There are at most $\#\ W_1$ many possibilities for x. Thus the probability that there exists a 'bad point', i.e. point in $x \in W_1$ with $\mathsf{deg}(x) > (d-c)/2$ such that both ϕ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}$ vanish at such x is at most $\big(\#\ W_1\big)q^{-\nu} = O(dq^{-\nu})$. Since as $d \to \infty$, ν grows linearly in d, $O(dq^{-\nu}) = o(1)$. In other words, the probability of choosing h such that there is no bad point is $1 - o(1)$.

Combining the above two claims, it follows that the probability of choosing $\phi = \phi_0 + g^p X + h^p$ such that

$$\mathsf{Z}(\phi) \cap W_1 \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)_{>(d-c)/2} = \emptyset$$

is equal to $(1-o(1))(1-o(1)) = 1 - o(1)$. This shows that $\mu(Q) = 0$. □

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let $\overline{Q}$ denote the complement of Q in A. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be as in Lemma 4.8. To prove Lemma 4.4 we need to show that $\mathcal{P} \cap \overline{Q}$ is non-empty. However combining the above two lemma's we in fact get that $\mu(\mathcal{P} \cap \overline{Q}) > 0$. This finishes the proof. □

Condition (3) of Lemma 4.4 ensures that $Y : \mathbb{A}^2_F \to \mathbb{A}^1_F$ is radicial at $S_{(d-c)/2}$. We would have ideally liked to have S instead of $S_{(d-c)/2}$ here. If this was the case, and if $\phi_{|Z(f)}$ was finite, as mentioned in Remark 4.5, we would be able to deduce that (ϕ, Y) presents $(W, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$. However we are unable to handle points in S of degree greater than $(d-c)/2$. In order to rectify that, we replace the map (ϕ, Y) with a map (ϕ, h) for a suitable h as found by the following lemma. Finiteness of ϕ will be handled later using a Noether normalization argument.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in Lemma 4.4. Let $d >> 0$ be an integer such that for every $i > (d-c)/2$,*

$$\#\ (\mathbb{A}^1_F)_{=i} > dm.$$

Let $\phi \in A_{\leq d}$ be as given by (4.4) and $S := \mathsf{Z}(\phi) \cap Z$. Then, there exists $h \in F[X,Y]$ such that

1. *$h_{|S} : S \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is radicial, i.e. injective and preserves degree.*
2. *The map $\mathbb{A}^2_F \xrightarrow{(\phi,h)} \mathbb{A}^2_F$ is etale at all $x \in S$.*
3. *$h_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)} : \mathsf{Z}(f) \to \mathbb{A}^1_F$ is a finite map.*

Proof. Step(1): In this step we will show that with the given choice of d, it is possible to choose h_1 which satisfies condition (1) of the Lemma.
We claim that

$$\#\ S_{=i} \leq \#\ (\mathbb{A}^1_F)_{=i} \quad \forall\ i \geq 1.$$

As explained in Remark 4.6, $\mathsf{Z}(\phi) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)$ is finite. By Bezout theorem, $\#\ S \leq \mathsf{deg}(\phi)\mathsf{deg}(f) = dm$. Thus the above claim holds for all $i > (d-c)/2$ by the choice of d. On the other hand, the claim also holds for $i \leq (d-c)/2$, since by Lemma 4.4, Y is radicial at $S_{\leq (d-c)/2}$. Thus we can choose a set theoretic map $S \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}} \mathbb{A}^1_F$ which is injective and preserves degree of points. By chinese remainder theorem, there exists an $h_1 \in F[X,Y]$ such that for all $x \in S$

$$h_1(x) = \widetilde{h}(x).$$

Step(2): Now, using the h_1 from above step, we will find a $h_2 \in F[X,Y]$ which satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of the Lemma. It is sufficient to find an $h_2 \in F[X,Y]$ such that

$$(i)\ \ h_2 \equiv h_1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_x \ \ \forall\ x \in S$$
$$(ii)\ \ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial X}(x) = 0 \quad \forall\ x \in S$$
$$(iii)\ \ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial Y}(x) = 1 \quad \forall\ x \in S$$

First we claim that for any closed point $x \in \mathbb{A}^2_F$, there exists an $h_x \in F[X,Y]$ such that

$$h_x \equiv h_1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_x$$
$$\frac{\partial h_x}{\partial X}(x) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial h_x}{\partial Y}(x) = 1$$

We choose a polynomial $f_1 \in F[X]$ such that $f_1(x) = 0$ and $\partial f_1/\partial X(x) \neq 0$. To see that such a choice is possible, let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{A}^2_F \to \mathbb{A}^1_F$ be the projection on to the X-coordinate. The minimal polynomial of any primitive element of the residue field of $\pi_1(x)$ satisfies our requirement. Similarly, we choose $f_2 \in F[Y]$ such that $f_2(x) = 0$ and $\partial f_2/\partial Y(x) \neq 0$. Using chinese remainder theorem and the fact that the residue field $F(x)$ is perfect, we choose $g_1, g_2 \in F[X,Y]$ such that

$$g_1^p(x) = -\frac{\partial h_1/\partial X(x)}{\partial f_1/\partial X(x)},$$
$$g_2^p(x) = \frac{\big(1 - \partial h_1/\partial Y(x)\big)}{\partial f_2/\partial Y(x)}.$$

We leave it to the reader that

$$h_x = h_1 + g_1^p f_1 + g_2^p f_2$$

satisfies the requirement of our claim. Now, by chinese remainder theorem, there exists $h_2 \in F[X,Y]$ such that

$$h_2 \equiv h_x \mod \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \ \ \forall\ x \in S.$$

It is straightforward to see that h_2 satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of the Lemma.

Step (3): Choose a non-constant polynomial $\beta \in F[Y]$ such that $\beta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in S$. Since f is monic in X, $\mathsf{Z}(f) \xrightarrow{Y} \mathbb{A}^1_F$ is a finite map. Thus $\beta : \mathsf{Z}(f) \to \mathbb{A}^1_F$ is also a finite map. As $\mathsf{dim}(\mathsf{Z}(f)) = 1$, for a sufficiently large integer ℓ,

$$h := h_2 - \beta^{p\ell}$$

defines a finite map $\mathsf{Z}(f) \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{A}^1_F$ by Noether normalization trick (see (2.2)). Clearly h continues to satisfy conditions (1) and (2) of the Lemma since $\beta^{p\ell} \in \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ for all $x \in S$.

□

Proof of theorem 4.3. Let ϕ, h be as in Lemmas 4.4 and 4.10 respectively. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}$ be the map $\mathbb{A}^2_F \xrightarrow{(\phi,h)} \mathbb{A}^2_F$, and $\widetilde{\Psi} := \phi$. Recall that $\widetilde{S} := \phi^{-1}(0) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)$ (with reduced scheme structure). By Remark 4.6 it is finite.

Step 1: We claim that there exists a $g \in F[X,Y]$ such that if $W_g := \mathbb{A}^2_F \backslash \mathsf{Z}(g)$, then $\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{S}) \subset W_g$ and

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{|\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(W_g) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)} : \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(W_g) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f) \longrightarrow W_g$$

is a closed immersion. The proof of this claim is a repetition of the argument in [1, 3.5.1] (see also (3.4)). Let $\{p, x_1, ..., x_n\}$ be the set of points in $\widetilde{S}$. Since $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is etale and radicial at all points of $\widetilde{S}$ (see (4.4)(2) and (4.10)(1)) we have $\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{S})) \to \mathbb{A}^2_F$ is a closed immersion. Let $y_0, ..., y_n$ be the points

in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{S})$. Let η_i be the maximal ideal in $F[X,Y]$ corresponding to the closed point y_i. Thus the above closed immersion gives us a surjective map

$$F[X,Y] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{F[\mathsf{Z}(f)]}{\eta_0 \cdots \eta_n}$$

where $F[\mathsf{Z}(f)]$ is the coordinate ring of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$. If C denotes the cokernel of $F[X,Y] \to F[\mathsf{Z}(f)]$ (as $F[X,Y]$ modules), then the above surjective map implies that

$$C \otimes \frac{F[X,Y]}{\eta_0 \cdots \eta_n} = 0.$$

Note that $\widetilde{\Phi}_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is a finite map, since h is a finite map ((4.10)(3)). Thus $F[\mathsf{Z}(f)]$ is a finite $F[X,Y]$ module. Thus, by Nakayama lemma, there exists an element $g \in F[X,Y]$ such that $g \notin \eta_0 \cdots \eta_n$ and $C_g = 0$. In other words, the map

$$F[X,Y]_g \twoheadrightarrow F[\mathsf{Z}(f)]_g$$

is surjective. This proves the claim since if $W_g := \mathbb{A}^2_F \backslash \mathsf{Z}(g)$, the above surjectivity is equivalent to the following being a closed immersion

$$\widetilde{\Phi} : \mathsf{Z}(f) \cap \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(W_g) \to W_g.$$

Step 2: Let E be the smallest closed subset of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ satisfying the following three conditions

(i) $x \in E$ if $x \in \mathsf{Z}(f)$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is not etale at x.
(ii) $\mathsf{Z}(f) \backslash Z \subset E$.
(iii) $\mathsf{Z}(f) \backslash \big(\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(W_g) \cap \mathsf{Z}(f)\big) \subset E$.

Since $\widetilde{S}$ contains at least one point in each irreducible component of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$, (iii) implies that E is finite (see also Remark 4.6). Moreover, by the above step and condition (iii) we have

$$\mathsf{Z}(f) \backslash E \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2_F$$

is a locally closed immersion. Moreover $\widetilde{S}$ and E are disjoint, and hence $\phi(p) \notin \phi(E)$. Since E is finite, we choose a non-constant polynomial expression Q in h which vanishes on p as well as E. For an integer $\ell >> 0$ and divisible by $\mathrm{char}(F)$, we claim that $(\phi - Q^\ell, h)$ presents $(W, \mathsf{Z}(f), p)$. Let

$$\Phi := (\phi - Q^\ell, h) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi := \phi - Q^\ell.$$

To prove the claim we need to verify the conditions of Definition (1)-(4) 3.3. Condition (1), i.e. finiteness of $\Psi_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$, follows by (2.2) since ℓ is large, and $h_{|\mathsf{Z}(f)}$ is finite. As Q vanishes on p and E, $\Psi(p) \notin \Psi(E)$ follows from $\phi(p) \notin \phi(E)$. Thus if $S := \Psi^{-1}\Psi(p) \cap Z$, then $S \subset \mathsf{Z}(f) \backslash E$. Conditions (2) to (4) of (3.3) follow from the conditions (i) to (iii) of E in the beginning of this step. □

References

[1] J.L. Colliot-Thélène, R. Hoobler, B. Kahn; The Bloch-Ogus-Gabber theorem. *Algebraic K-theory* (Toronto, ON, 1996), 31–94, Fields Inst. Commun., **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. 1, 5, 6, 18

[2] Gabber, Ofer; Gersten's conjecture for some complexes of vanishing cycles. *Manuscripta Math.* **85** (1994), no. **3-4**, 323–343. 1

[3] Morel, Fabien: $\mathbb{A}^1$*-algebraic topology over a field*, LNM 2052, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. 1

[4] B. Poonen; *Bertini theorems over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. **3**, 1099–1127. 1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16

[5] W. Fulton; *Introduction to intersection theory in algebraic geometry* No. 54. American Mathematical Soc., 1984.

[6] D. Mumford; *The red book of varieties and schemes: includes the Michigan lectures (1974) on curves and their Jacobians*, Vol. 1358. Springer Science and Business Media, 1999. 2, 5

[7] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stack Project*. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 6